

***Progress in Transplantation***  
**Author Guidelines**  
**Revised July 2016**

Progress in Transplantation (The Journal), the official publication of NATCO, The Organization for Transplant Professionals publishes a broad range of peer-reviewed clinical and procurement articles for transplant professionals. The Australasian Transplant Coordinators Association and the Society for Transplant Social Workers partner with NATCO to provide content that is relevant to and reflective of the growing diversity of the professional transplant community.

**General publication information**

***Peer Review Policy***

To provide authors with a blinded peer review, do not put personal, institutional, or organizational information within the manuscript text or headers. Author names, institutions, or organizations will be entered separately in SAGE track. Each manuscript will have a minimum of two reviewers. Authors and reviewers are blinded.

***Publication Ethics***

NATCO and The Journal are committed to uphold academic integrity. We encourage authors to refer to the Committee on Publication Ethics' [\*International Standards for Authors\*](#) and view the Ethics & Responsibility page on the [Sage Author Gateway](#).

***Plagiarism***

Plagiarism or other breaches of publication ethics are serious issues. We seek to protect the rights of our authors and will investigate claims of plagiarism or misuse of published articles. Equally, we seek to protect the reputation of The Journal against malpractice and will check articles with duplication-checking software. Where an article is found to have plagiarized other work or included third-party copyright material without permission or with insufficient acknowledgement, or where the authorship of the article is contested, we reserve the right to take action that includes, but not limited to: publishing an erratum or corrigendum (correction); retracting the article; taking up the matter with the head of department or dean of the author's institution and/or relevant academic bodies or societies; and/or taking appropriate legal action.

***Protection of patients' rights to privacy***

Identifying details should be avoided unless the information is essential to scientific understanding and the patient or family has given written informed consent. Identifying details (names, dates of birth, identity numbers and other information) should be omitted if they are not essential. For example, masking the eye region in photographs of patients is inadequate protection of anonymity. Include a signed statement of informed consent from all persons included in case reports, patient descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees. Use of private health information of minors needs to include assent from the minor and consent from the parents. Ethical publication of identifying details includes allowing those consenting to publication of their private information be given the chance to read the manuscript before it is submitted. Authors must provide a statement in the manuscript about obtaining informed consent to publish when identifying details are included in the text.

***Statement of informed consent***

For all studies that have adhered to regulatory, ethical, and moral obligations of the research process, include the following statement at the end of your manuscript (before the references): Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

***Acknowledgements***

All contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an *Acknowledgements* section. Examples of those who might be acknowledge include persons who provided purely technical help or a department chair who provided general support. Include the acknowledgment in the title page.

SAGE provides authors a link to their final article. Online First is a service to authors to have articles published online prior to their inclusion in a print issue. This reduces the lead time between submission and publication. For more information, please visit our Online First Fact Sheet in the [SAGE Author Gateway](#).

***Funding acknowledgment***

A funding statement is included on the Title page. See the Funding Acknowledgments page on the [SAGE Author Gateway](#) to acquire the acknowledgment format. If the project was not funded use the statement: This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

***Declaration of Conflicting Interests Statement***

Authors must disclose all relationships or interests that could influence or bias the work. These may include but are not limited to personal relationships or competing interests directly or indirectly tied to this research, or professional interests or personal beliefs that may influence your research.

***Copyright and transfer disclosure***

Authors submitting manuscripts do so on the understanding that the work has not been published before, is not being considered for publication elsewhere, and has been read and approved by all authors. The submission of the manuscript means that authors automatically agree to assign *Exclusive License to Publish to NATCO* when the manuscript is accepted for publication. It is sufficient for the corresponding author to sign the form on behalf of all authors.

Authors will be expected to disclose any affiliation with or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in the subject matter or material discussed in the manuscript.

***Report standards***

The Journal endorses the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) that provides researchers with a standardized method for reporting clinical trials. A 25-item checklist for preparing research reports is available at [www.consort-statement.com](http://www.consort-statement.com). Authors are encouraged to follow guidelines.

***Authorship requirements***

All persons listed as authors must have 1) participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content; or 2) made substantial contributions to the conception and design or analysis and interpretation of data; or 3) participated in drafting of the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; *and* 4) given final approval of the version of the manuscript to be published.

Authors must certify that the manuscript consists of original work and does not copy or otherwise infringe on the copyright or other proprietary rights of others; that all necessary permissions for borrowed material have been obtained, copies of which will be submitted; and authorization has been obtained and will be submitted to disclose any identifiable or potentially identifiable private health information of patients.

### ***Writing assistance***

Individuals who provided writing assistance, e.g. from a specialist communication company, do not qualify as authors and so should be included in the *Acknowledgements* section. Authors must disclose any writing assistance, including the individuals' name, company and level of input, and identify the entity that paid for this assistance.

### ***English language editing services***

Authors seeking assistance with English language editing, translation, or figure and manuscript formatting to fit The Journal's specifications should consider using [SAGE Language Services](#) found on the [SAGE Author Gateway](#).

### ***Open access and author archiving***

Optional open access publishing via the SAGE Choice program is available. For more information please visit the [SAGE Choice](#) website. For information on funding body compliance and depositing your article in repositories, please visit SAGE Publishing Policies on our [SAGE Author Gateway](#).

### ***On acceptance and publication***

SAGE Production: Your production editor will inform you of your paper's process as it moves through the production phases. Galley proofs in PDF format will be sent to the corresponding author and must be returned in the requested time frame. It is unlikely that you will receive a second set of proofs, so please check them carefully upon first review.

## **Manuscript Types and Formatting Guidelines**

### **Manuscript formatting**

This information applies to all submissions to The Journal. Manuscripts that do not conform to the guidelines will be returned.

Submit text in Word.doc or Word.docx, (please do not submit any files in pdf format)

Double space

1 inch margins

Ariel or Times New Roman type face; 12 font

Set before and after paragraph spacing at 0 pt

Required subheadings will be listed under each manuscript type. Use additional subheadings as needed.

Submit tables in Word.doc or Word.docx format, or Excel (please do not submit images or .pdf of tables as they must be editable)

Figures may be submitted in Word.doc, Word.docx, .gif, jpg, or .tiff formats

Do not insert tables or figures in the manuscript text

Follow AMA Manual of Style, 10<sup>th</sup> edition for manuscript style and reference formatting.

Citations in the text are superscript outside commas or periods.<sup>1</sup>

The reference list is numbered in the order in which they appear in text, tables and figures.

Material not yet accepted for publication or personal communication are not listed in the reference list.

Abbreviate journal names as used in PubMed.

List all authors and/or editors up to 6; if more than 6, list the first 3 followed by “et al.”

See below for a reference list format example. The reference list with this document follows the AMA Manual of Style.

1. Youngster I, Russell GH, Pindar C, Ziv-Baran T, Sauk J, Hohmann EL. Oral, capsulized, frozen fecal microbiota transplantation for relapsing *Clostridium difficile* infection. *JAMA*. 2014;312 (17):1772-1778.

The following manuscript types are accepted for review with The Journal. The Journal does not accept basic science research. All topics should be of interest or applicable to transplant professionals.

**Manuscript types**

Type	Word Limit	Abstract Word limit	Reference Maximum	Table Maximum	Figure Maximum
Brief Communication	500	No abstract	1-3	1	1
Case Report	500	No abstract	1-5	1	1
Clinical Procurement Practice Issue	1500	150 (unstructured)	5-10	1	1
Clinical Transplant Practice Issue	1500	150 (unstructured)	5-10	1	1
Evidence-Based Practice	1500	250	5-10	1	2
Performance Improvement	1500	250	5-10	1	2
Qualitative Research	3500	250	≤30	3	3
Quantitative Research	3500	250	≤30	3	3
Systematic Review	4000	250	50 As needed: work with Editor if > 50	4	3

### **Submission documents-for [SAGE track](#)**

The following documents are required and will be uploaded as separate documents into SAGE track:

**Cover letter** -letter to the editor with title of paper and any comments you want to share about your project. This a formal letter, single-spaced, no more than 1 page. Include statement similar to the following about author contribution and publication:

All authors participated in the intellectual content, conception and design of the paper, took public responsibility for it and have agreed to have their name listed as contributors. Neither this manuscript nor parts of it have been previously submitted for publication. None of the authors received any financial interest, direct or indirect, related to the achievement of this work.

**Title page** includes- (Do not include this information in the manuscript text document)

Author full names, credentials, department, and institutions

Identify the corresponding author with complete contact information

Acknowledgements

Funding statements

Keywords (in SAGE track you will be asked to choose keywords from a structured keyword index file. The keywords placed on the title page will be used to improve the index file)

**Permissions**- Upload any permission granted for copyrighted materials, permission to publish private health information, assents, or consents for photos

**Abstract**- The abstract is placed within a SAGE track field. Do not include the abstract in the manuscript text document. Word limit is based on manuscript type.

**Manuscript text**-Each manuscript type requirements are described below. The text with references is uploaded as a separate document.

**Table**- Upload each table as a separate document. Provide a table number, title, and legend (if applicable) with each document.

**Figure**- Upload each figure as a separate document. Include a separate word document that provides the Figure number, title, and legend (if applicable). Be sure to crop any identifiable characteristics if a photo or X-ray is used.

### ***Brief Communication***

Use headings as appropriate. Topics for brief communication include new or upcoming policy or issues in transplantation, short report of new or cutting edge technology, short report of novel research or methodologies.

Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page

- Brief Communication text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

### ***Case Report***

A case report is a detailed report of the symptoms, signs, diagnosis, treatment, and follow-up of an individual patient. Case reports may contain a demographic profile of the patient, but usually describe an unusual or novel occurrence. Be wary of disclosing non relevant personal information. Include consent (and assent if minor). Use the following headings as appropriate.

Introduction	Summarize the background and context of the case. Include statement of patient consent.
Presenting Concern	Describe the relevant patient characteristics and details of symptoms/concerns/issues addressing the case.
Clinical Findings	Describe pertinent and relevant clinical findings, interventions or therapies.
Outcome	Based on the therapies or patient condition, describe patient outcomes and follow-up.
Discussion	Summarize case. Include any similar published cases.

#### Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Case Report text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)
- Consent (and assent if case involves a minor)

### ***Clinical Procurement Practice Issue***

Use this manuscript type to describe a clinical procurement practice issue or disease process that will inform readers in more details about the issue. This manuscript type is ideal to use for contact hours and may be selected by The Journal to offer credit. Use headings and subheadings to structure your clinical issue. Suggested headings include:

Introduction	Describe the scope of the problem or disease process. Include background literature
Clinical Relevancy to Practice	Describe current practice issues, i.e. barriers and facilitators, organizational challenges
Practice Issues	Focus on issues or topics as you describe how the problem/issue is addressed. The heading may require subheadings to fully organize description.
Summary (or Implications for Practice)	

#### Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)

- Abstract (unstructured)
- Clinical practice issue text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

### ***Clinical Transplant Practice Issue***

Use this manuscript type to describe a clinical transplant practice issue or disease process that will inform readers in more details about the issue. This manuscript type is ideal to use for contact hours and may be selected by The Journal to offer credit. Use headings and subheadings to structure your clinical issue. Suggested headings include:

- Introduction Describe the scope of the problem or disease process. Include background literature
- Clinical Relevancy to Practice Describe current practice issues, i.e. barriers and facilitators, organizational challenges
- Practice Issues Focus on issues or topics as you describe how the problem/issue is addressed. The heading may require subheadings to fully organize description.
- Summary (or Implications for Practice)

#### Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (unstructured)
- Clinical practice issue text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

### ***Evidence-Based Practice (EBP)***

An EBP manuscript describes the exploration of a clinical question where a formal process for searching and evaluating the literature to determine sufficient evidence to change practice or require further research. Use the following headings to organize your manuscript.

- Background/Significance of the problem Provide adequate summary of the importance of this clinical problem
- Clinical question State clinical question in a complete sentence in an acceptable format (i.e. PICO, PICOT)
- Search of the literature Include databases, keywords, inclusion criteria, and yield.
- Clinical appraisal Summarize the findings based on the literature reviewed. This is not a line by line critique of each reviewed article, but a summary of what the research found on your outcome of interest. Include level of evidence.

Integration into practice	Description of practice change and measures used to evaluate nursing &/or patient outcomes.
Evaluation of evidence based practice	Provide results of practice change (based on your outcome measures)
Future areas for investigation	Describe any next steps or how others can use your findings.

Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (structured: Background, Clinical Question, Literature Search, Clinical Appraisal, Integration into Practice, Evaluation)
- Clinical practice issue text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

**Performance Improvement (PI)**

Performance improvement, Quality Assurance, or Quality Improvement are common names used in organizations to evaluate progress on meeting local and/or national benchmarks. A PI manuscript describes the systematic evaluation of unit or organizational processes that improved patient, transplant, or organizational outcomes. Please use guidelines for reporting PI.<sup>1</sup> Use the following headings to organize your manuscript.

Introduction/Background	Describes the problem, what is known or not known about the problem
Statement of the problem	This can be a simple statement of the problem and identifies the process that your organization (or group) chose to improve.
Methods	State the methodology used in the project (i.e. FOCUS PCDA, Lean, Swiss Cheese, Cause & Effect, Root Cause Analysis. Describe the setting, what was planned and implemented and what measures and analyses were used to evaluate success.
Processes Addressed	Give specific details on the planned changes
Outcomes	Describe results of your process improvement efforts
Implications	Describe any next steps or how others can use your findings

Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (structured: Background, Problem Statement, Methods, Processes addressed, Outcomes, Implications for practice)
- Performance improvement text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)



## **Qualitative Research**

A manuscript meets this criterion if the methodology used to answer, discover, or describe a concept using formal qualitative methodology. Use the following headings to organize the manuscript.<sup>2,3</sup> You may adapt your headings to fit with the type of methodology used.

Introduction/Background	Relevance of the area of interest. Include literature review.
Methods/Approach	Describe qualitative methods, theoretical framework. Include institutional or ethic review approval.
Setting	Describe the environment, the subjects, and the role of the researcher.
Sampling	Describe the technique for sample and how recruitment was carried out.
Information collection and analysis	Describe how data were collected, and the method that was used to evaluate data. Include reliability, credibility, transferability and adequacy of rigor.
Findings	Provide results (in the order that you gave in your data collection section). Use additional subheadings to organize outcomes.
Discussion	Summarize the meaning of your findings (in relation to practice or previous research). Include study strengths and limitations. Future investigative needs (if applicable).

### Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (structured: Introduction, Methods/Approach, Findings, Discussion)
- Qualitative research text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

## **Quantitative Research**

This is a report of a formal descriptive, comparative, correlational, or interventional research study. Use the following headings to organize your manuscript. Use subheadings as appropriate.

Introduction/Background	Relevance of the area of interest. Include literature review.
Specific Aim (or Research Question(s))	
Design/Methods	
Design	State the design used. Include institutional or ethic review approval
Setting	Describe the setting where study occurred
Population	Describe the population from which you drew your sample. Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria, method of recruitment
Data collection	Describe variables collected. Describe instruments used to measure outcome variables (and psychometric properties), score types, range, interpretation of scores (where applicable). Describe how/where data were obtained.

Data analysis	Describe the statistical procedures used to evaluate outcome variables. Organize this in the same order in which you presented your variables collected.
Procedure	Describe what the research subject was asked to do
Results	Provide results (in the order that you gave in your data collection section). Use additional subheadings to organize outcomes.
Conclusions	Summarize the meaning of your findings (in relation to practice or previous research). Include study strengths and limitations. Future investigative needs (if applicable). Do not restate findings.

Required documents

- Cover letter
- Title page
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (structured: Introduction, Research Questions, Design, Results, Discussion)
- Quantitative research text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

**Systematic Review**

A systematic review is a summary of published literature on a specific question. This is not a report of each article found, but a way to report findings of outcomes across multiple research studies. A meta-analysis is a way to analyze results over multiple studies although there may be instances in which the data across studies are not feasible to perform the analysis. Use the following headings to organize your manuscript.<sup>4,5</sup> Use subheadings as needed.

Introduction	Describe is currently known and support why the review was undertaken
Objective	State the objectives and questions the review is to answer
Methods	Provide protocol followed, inclusion/exclusion of research included in the review, describe method of identifying articles and how articles found. Provide a flow chart of yield that leads to the articles included in the review
Data collection	Describe
Bias assessment	Describe method for assessing
Outcome measures	Describe summary measures used
Synthesis method	If the data are amenable to meta-analysis, refer to the <a href="#">PRISMA</a> statement for direction.
Results	Describe number of studies screened, characteristics, bias identified, results of studies based on outcome objectives, and synthesis of studies
Discussion	Summarize, identify strengths and limitations.

Required documents

- Cover letter

- Title page
- Abstract (Introduction, Objective, Methods, Results, Discussion)
- Permission to use copyright material (if applicable)
- Abstract (structured: Introduction, Objectives, Methods, Findings, Discussion)
- Systematic review text including references
- Table (if applicable)
- Figure (if applicable)

Submit your completed manuscript via [SAGE track](#). All manuscripts submitted after June 1 2016 must follow these guidelines. When your manuscript is accepted for publication, the copyright lease form will be sent to all authors.

Rebecca P Winsett PhD RN  
Editor in Chief  
*Progress in Transplantation*  
[RPWinsett@natco1.org](mailto:RPWinsett@natco1.org)

#### References

1. Ogrinc G, Mooney SE, Estrada C, et al. The SQUIRE (Standards for Quality Improvement Reporting Excellence) guidelines for quality improvement reporting: Explanation and elaboration. *Quality & Safety in Health Care*. 2008;17(Suppl 1):i13-i32.
2. Rowan M, Huston P. Qualitative research articles: Information for authors and peer reviewers. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 1997;157(10):1442-1446.
3. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): A 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Health*. 2007;19(6):349-357.
4. Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *British Medical Journal online*. 2009;339:b2700.
5. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *International Journal of Surgery*. 2010;8(8):336-341.